

Health Promotion Perspectives, 2013, 3(2), 276-287

doi: 10.5681/hpp.2013.032 http://journals.tbzmed.ac.ir/HPP



Advantages and Disadvantages of Different Methods of Hospitals' Downsizing: A Narrative Systematic Review

Yalda Mousazadeh¹, *Ali Jannati², Hossein Jabbari Beiramy³, Mohammad Asghari Jafarabadi⁴, Ali Ebadi⁵

Student Research Committee, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran
 Tabriz Healthcare Management Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran
 Tabriz Health Services Management Research Center, Tabriz University of medical sciences, Tabriz, Iran
 Road Traffic Injury Research Centre, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran
 Deputy of Health, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article type:

Review Article

Article history:

Received: Aug 18 2013 Accepted: Nov 05 2013 e-published: Dec 31 2013

Keywords:

Hospital, Downsizing, Downsizing method, Review

*Corresponding Author:

Ali Jannati Tel: +98 914 419 5377; e-mail:Janati1382@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background:Hospitals as key actors in health systems face growing pressures especially cost cutting and search for cost-effective ways to resources management. Downsizing is one of these ways. This study was conducted to identify advantages and disadvantages of different methods of hospital' downsizing. **Methods:** The search was conducted in databases of Medlib, SID, Pub Med, Science Direct and Google Scholar Meta search engine by keywords of

Science Direct and Google Scholar Meta search engine by keywords of Downsizing, Hospital Downsizing, Hospital Rightsizing, Hospital Restructuring, Staff Downsizing, Hospital Merging, Hospital Reorganization and the Persian equivalents. Resulted 815 articles were studied and refined step by step. Finally, 27 articles were selected for analysis.

Results: Five hospital downsizing methods were identified during searching. These methods were reducing the number of employees and beds, outsourcing, integration of hospital units, and the combination of these methods. The most important benefits were cost reduction, increasing patient satisfaction, increasing home care and outpatient services. The most important disadvantage included reducing access, reducing the rate of hospital admissions and increasing employees' workload and dissatisfaction.

Conclusion: Each downsizing method has strengths and weaknesses. Using different methods of downsizing, according to circumstances and applying appropriate interventions after implementation, is necessary for promotion.

Citation: Mousazadeh Y, Jannati A, Jabbari Beiramy H, Asghari Jafarabadi M, Ebadi A. Advantages and Disadvantages of Different Methods of Hospitals' Downsizing: A Narrative Systematic Review. Health Promot Perspect 2013; 3(2):276-287.

Introduction

Hospitals are key actors in national health systems, and consume a large share of spending. For example in European regions, the hospital sector is consuming 35–70 percent of national expenditures on health care. Very large sums were spent on construction, maintenance and rehabilitation

hospitals around the world annually. Although, appropriate evidences about achieving expected benefits are little². The World Health Organization has estimated in 1989, it wastes 40 percent of United States' health system resources³. It shows that resources

can be achieved through efficiency increasing is very significant³.

Nowadays hospitals face particular challenges and problems in Iran, for example, in the issues of quality, relevancy, and effectiveness as consequences of severely economic disruption⁴. In the same time, this system allocates more than 50% of total health funds to hospital sector. Hospitals of Iran have more than 130 thousand employees (50% of health staffs) however the low occupancy of hospital beds (54%) in comparison with developed countries (80%-85% bed occupancy) 5. It shows the need for proper utilization of limited resources. Furthermore, only 82% of beds in Iran's hospitals are active⁵, it means high resources spend on costs, staffing, salaries and maintenance. It might be cost-effective to cut or limit further expansion of hospital beds unless it has been warranted by increased demand⁵.

It seems necessary to promote efficient management of hospitals by implementing various strategies⁶. Downsizing is one of strategies. Cameron expresses definition of downsizing: downsizing is a set of activities that reduce the number of employees and results higher efficiency and costs reduction⁷. Appelbaum and colleagues also argue that downsizing is a step to reduce production costs and staff permanent positions, privatization, or it refers to contracting services and activities8. Downsizing begins in US auto industry following oil crisis in 1973⁹. Organizations has taken downsizing strategy to achieve an appropriate size, reconstruction, adapting to technological advances, specialization in their core activities, flexibility, costs reduction, stay competitive, speed in decision making and rapid implementation of ideas⁵.

The hospital sector has experienced considerable restructuring and downsizing throughout the industrialized world and the last of 20 years. The purpose of these changes was cost cutting, reducing excess capacity and increasing the appropriateness of care ^{10,11}. In other words, the process of bed, staff and resource reduction is known as a common part of the organizational life in hospitals of Canada, U.S.A and European

countries¹²⁻¹⁴. Downsizing policies are pursued by different strategies in hospitals. for example, reducing the number of beds, reducing personnel and management positions, integration of hospital units, using the power of the private sector, merging hospitals, re-engineering, outsourcings, modifications to clinical staffing, skill combination and so on¹⁵⁻¹⁹.

There are some relating evidences about downsizing strategies in literature: 47% bed reduction in Finland and at least 10% in other western European countries, reducing the number of hospitals from 305 to 65 and the number of beds from 14.4 to 6.5 per 1,000 people in Moldavia, cutting 52% of hospital beds in Kazakhstan¹⁴ merging over 900 hospitals during the period 1995-2002 in the United States 19 merging fourteen Danish hospitals into five new regions hospitals¹⁸, merging sterilization service of regional hospital in Austria by establishing one equipped center instead of three inefficient centers²⁰ and outsourcing different sections of hospitals in the range of 13.5 to 94.6 percent in Taiwan¹⁷.

Excess capacity in the hospitals has become a serious problem in terms of technical, managerial and policy issues similar to all around the world and Iran¹⁵.In most of the developing countries including Iran, new hospitals are often constructed with no real assessment. Distributions of hospitals are in the geographical based instead of need based²¹. Therefore, international experts recommend to stopping hospital beds spreading⁵ and other interventions²². Hospital sector policy makers are looking for new solutions in response to the growing pressures, especially the lacks of financial resources²³. It seems downsizing with different methods is one of these solutions. But, administrative reform has not been done about hospital downsizing in our country²⁴.

Despite the fact that downsizing is conducting as a common method versus economic pressures in health systems, there are a few empirical evidences of the effectiveness and ineffectiveness. An international review of published researches showed that

88% of the 68 studies identified some adverse effects. Another meta-review was reached similar conclusions. Others argued requirement of significant policy interventions²⁵. No empirical studies have been conducted on economic benefits of hospital downsizing in Iran. There are only a few known existing studies on outsourcing and merging in Iran health system^{23,26,27}.

This study was designed for searching about various advantages and disadvantages of hospitals' downsizing methods in order to knowledge production for improvement of country' hospitals situation.

Materials and Methods

This study is a review in the databases of Medlib, SID, Pub Med, Science Direct and Google Scholar meta-search engine using the keywords of Downsizing, Hospital Downsizing, Hospital Rightsizing, Hospital Restructuring, Staff Downsizing, Hospital Merging, Hospital Reorganization, in the period of 1980 to 2011. Overall, 815 articles were the results of searching and other documents. The most relevant articles were selected considering inclusion criteria included English and Persian-language, referring to the hospital downsizing, including downsizing methods and the advantages and disadvantages of each of these methods. Exclusion criteria were downsizing in other parts of the health system except hospitals, letters to editor articles, and summary of conference articles (Table 1).

First, titles of all articles were reviewed and 491 articles were excluded due to inconsistency with study aims, and 23 articles were omitted because they were duplicated among three databases (Pub Med, Science Direct and Google Scholar). Remaining 301 articles were reviewed in second stage (Studying abstracts of the selected articles), and 218 articles were excluded, because they were not related to downsizing in hospital (Related to other health care providers), or only predicted study about consequences of downsizing. In the third phase (Study and review articles' full texts), 83 remained articles were reviewed and 56 articles

were excluded, because they did not show the method or effects of downsizing. Finally, the key points of 27 articles were summarized and shown in results and tables. Authors conducted this study in six months.

Results

The most important downsizing methods include: reducing the number of beds, reducing the number of employees, integrating hospital units, out sourcing and using the power of the private sector, and a method based on combination of the mentioned methods According to identified studies. Each of these methods has different advantages and disadvantages which are mentioned separately. The mention rate of different methods of hospital downsizing has been demonstrated in Table 2.

Reducing the number of beds led to negative growth in yearly costs in Canadian hospitals in $1992 - 1995 (-4/2\%)^{28}$. It was accompanied with increasing outpatient care services; increasing home care services and extending outpatient surgery include angioplasty, cataract and bi-pass in Canada and other countries^{28,29}. Other experiences in British Columbia (downsizing of acute care services) showed small changes in the use of health care and no overall change in age adjusted death rates²⁹. The results of Reduction in psychiatric hospital beds were very interesting in Australia, so that cases of depression treatment were increased to 360% 30. Suicide rate among psychiatric patients had conflicting results after bed reduction, and it was reduced in some cases and in other cases was no change 12,30.

Although, bed reduction had no significant change in access to care, but, it was reduced inpatient days and increased discharge rate²⁹. Some findings imply limiting the number of beds is not an appropriate policy without balancing the number of labors³². Results of bed closures on cost reduction are contradictory. For example research from the United Kingdom suggested about 20% cost savings in caused of bed reduction. Several studies from North America have found about increase in the cost of hospital care per patient¹⁴.

Table 1: Process of articles searching

Database	Period	Key words	Results	Selected articles
Pub Med	1980-2011	Downsizing	331	5
		Staff downsizing	3	
		Hospital downsizing	12	
		Hospital restructuring	75	
		Hospital reorganization	14	
		Hospital merging	54	
Science Direct	1980-2011	Hospital downsizing	4	6
		Hospital restructuring	21	
		Hospital rightsizing	1	
		Hospital reorganization	5	
		Hospital merging	20	
Google scholar	1980-2011	Hospital downsizing	32	6
0		Hospital rightsizing	9	
		Hospital merging	167	
SID	_	Downsizing	2	4
		Integration	4	
		outsourcing	26	
Medlib	_	Downsizing	1	0
		Integration	18	
		Outsourcing	0	
Using of references and related articles	_	-	16	6

Table 2: The percent of downsizing method

Name of method	n	%
Reducing employees	9	33.3
Reducing beds	7	25.9
Out sourcing use private sector	5	18.5
Mixed method	4	14.4
Integration of wards and units	2	7.4

Occasionally, bed reduction led to reduction in admissions but increased the average lengths of stay even more. On the other hand, staff workload per case increased due to the higher proportion of emergency patients¹⁴.Empirical research on the impact of bed reduction on utilization concluded that access to hospital was not adversely affected on quality of care, readmission rates, and contact with physicians. Besides, the health status of the population as measured by mortality rate did not change. Following up last study confirmed the quality of care was unaffected after bed reduction. Furthermore access and utility of care in vulnerable groups (elderly and low incomes) remained unchanged. Results of 50% acute beds reduction associated with 18.5% reduction in

deaths in hospital and an 83.3% reduction in the length of final stay in Alberta, Canada, in the 1990s¹⁴.

Reducing the number of employees was another way of hospital downsizing. The impact of staff reduction has been reported at the organization's cost reduction like the bed reduction method³³.Removing intravenous (IV) therapy and infection control teams from the hospitals in US led to decreasing costs³⁴.Reducing employees is a predictor of increased risk of disability retirement among remained employees from downsizing35. Different studies indicated reducing the number of employees did not change the quality of service significantly, but workload and absenteeism were increased. Furthermore, job satisfaction and mental health were reduced in unemployed and remaining workers and the intensity of reducing was reported more among the full-time workers. Employee turnover was increased after downsizing but, it was lower among full-time workers 11,36-39.

The high workload after staff reduction was recognized as decreased organizational commitment. Of course, it was high among less experienced employees³⁰. Employees re-

duction policy was reduced managerial and supervisory positions in some cases. This position reduction has questioned ensuring safe and adequate care for patients, especially in countries like Australia that was experienced supervisor nurses reduction⁴⁰.

The third method is integration. It occurs when wards and units have same or similar activities with inefficient performance. Integration led to significant reducing the cost of labors, materials and goods and current expenditure of hospital before and after integration (P<0/0001)²⁶ Increasing purchasing power, thus saving money for the organization (with proper planning) were other effects of hospital units integration³² Sometimes, the whole of hospital integrate other hospitals. It is CaliforniaHospital experiences. It caused to increasing income per patient in merged hospital versus other hospitals. But, functional costs were increased⁴¹.

The fourth method (outsourcing) is an activity which organizations can use contracts with outside of the organization to focus on core activities. Hospitals benefit from this policy in their favor around the world. Findings about this method especially in Iran demonstrated processes improvement, customer satisfaction increasing compliance with the requirements of the law⁴².Outsourcing has become the effort to deal with the limitations of the human resources and reducing costs, creating money for hospital and saving time for administrators. Besides, outsourcing helps to hospitals cater their requirements without much financial burden^{27,28,43}. Lack of skills among managers about contract with private sector and lack of a strong private sector were introduced as outsourcing failure factors⁸.

The mixed methodcombines downsizing methods was mentioned in the previous cases. The mentioned mixed methods according to the findings of the studies were integration unit and reducing the number of staffs, reducing the number of beds and out-

sourcing, and reducing the number of beds and integration.

In some cases beds reduction was lead to transferring the part of work load to private sector. For example, reducing the number of psychiatric beds in US and acute care beds in Scotland has been transferred a part of work loud to private sector for providing outpatient care services44. This method led to costs reducing while death rates remained unchanged⁴⁵. But, sometimes participation by the society and private sector was not sufficient to activate the services and access rate was reduced⁴⁵.Bed reduction and integration of nursing units caused the compensation of deficits in Kitchener-Waterloo Hospital of Canada¹³.Experience of merging the units and reducing staffs (the erosion of employee policies and reducing layers of management) and incorporating public and private laboratories failed to make much impact on the quality; however, it reduced costs ⁴⁶.

Discussion

The aim of this study was investigate and identify the advantages and disadvantages of different methods of hospital downsizing. The advantages of the different methods of hospital downsizing included reducing costs and cutting budget deficit, creating income and saving money for the organization, increasing buying power, explaining the use of outpatient care and home care services, increasing patient improvement and service provision, increasing customer satisfaction, matching process with legal requirements, decreasing inpatient days, saving time for the managers. However disadvantages of using these methods were, no reduce in costs due to imbalanced manpower, lack of impact on quality, decrease admission, decrease access and mental health in remained staffs and increase workload. The advantages and disadvantages of each downsizing method are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: The advantages and disadvantages each method of hospital downsizing

Method of downsizing	Advantage	Disadvantage
Reducing the number of beds	Cost reduction, generate savings for the organization, expansion of outpa- tient surgical care and home care ser- vices, an increasing number of patient improvement, decrease inpatient days, reduction in deaths in hospital	Occasionally costs and inpatient days increased, staff workload per case increased due to the higher proportion of patients in the immediate
Reducing the number of employees	The most visible result was cost reduction	Increase workload for employees and increase absenteeism, decrease job satisfaction
Integration hospital wards and units	Reduce the cost of labor, materials and consumer goods, increase purchasing power of the organization, save money for the organization	Integration of units need to precise planning otherwise, no foresight in the manage- ment, it will result in none compensate consequences
Out sourcing and use the power of the private sector	More matching Service with regulatory requirements, improve service delivery processes, increase customer satisfac- tion, contract with limited manpower, saving time for administrators	Lack the skills of Managers to contract with private sector and lack of powerful private sector caused outsourcing is failed
Mixed method	Costs reduction and budget deficits compensation	No effect on the quality of service, no adequate care for patient

The method of employee's reduction allocated largest number of studies in Table 2. This result is justified because, the greatest amount of public funding is relating to staffs²³.But, Collins, Ryder, Browm and Iverson funded reducing employee has some negative effects, for example, weakened mental energy, increasing workload, and weakened job satisfaction on employees33,34,36,37.However, Quinlan showed negative impacts can be reduced via identifying root causes and challenges of the work environment and by reorganizing and motivating employees properly. For example, the OHS laws in Australia dealing with these cases²⁵. These laws concerned with changes of work environment and emphasis on clear tasks and continuous analysis of work environment and personnel acceptance rate.

The greatest number of studies included reducing the number of hospital beds after reducing the number of employees. The most important advantage of this method of downsizing is cost reduction. It is estimated in 2000, that nearly 6,000 billion dollars of investment needed to achieve to relations of a small hospital for out-patients per 40,000 people and three beds for every 1,000 people21. The results of Tully and Sheps showed reducing the number of beds lead to increase in outpatient services and home care. These services were used when patient does not desire to stay in the hospital, or when patient have special medical or mental condition to stay in hospital. It has an important role in patient satisfaction, reputation and revenue for the hospital^{24, 25}.McKee concluded that bed reduction lead to reduction in admissions but increased the average lengths of stav¹⁴.

Outsourcing and integration were downsizing methods especially in Iran. Findings demonstrated processes improvement, customer satisfaction increasing compliance with the requirements of the law and reduced costs, creating money for hospital and saving time for administrators after out-sourcing ^{27,28,43}. Recently, the authors introduced hospital units integration and clinical outsourcing as the most important downsizing methods in public hospitals ^{6,47,48}. Gressani summarized management skills about contract with private sector and strong private sector were introduced necessary for outsourcing ⁹. Similarly, proper planning is important for integration according to Armstrong` study ³².

In the study about integration (downsizing of Tabriz health center) all indicators increased in order to productivity promotion ¹⁰. In other words, after merging of two health centers and reducing the number of employees, the quality and quantity of services did not change appreciably. Moreover, it has been emphasized on Hospital Administration in the cluster (virtual integration) ^{49,50}.

The most important results of study showed that any downsizing methods are superior to another. In other words, each of these methods can be designed and implemented and be efficient according to the circumstances and possible consequences. These contents are consistent with findings of the study by Kazemek and Channon related to systematical steps that should be taken for hospital downsizing. These nine steps began with review of the organization status and situation of hospital and ends with an executable program⁵¹.In fact, proper implementation has decisive role in downsizing success.

It seems that downsizing does not mean reducing population needs, but increasing functional capabilities with costs reduction. The important issues in downsizing are according to the needs of the population, access to services, equity in service delivery, costs reduction and increase in customer satisfaction²⁴. In general it can be said that determining a capacity for hospital services without assessment for the facility cannot be effective action. In another aspect, downsizing is necessary even after assessment for effectiveness and results of changing in people needs, changing disease patterns, high costs of hospital and so on. Downsizing is a necessity, be-

cause, its results is positive and it limits needed resource and helps preservation of health. It will create useful results with implementing monitoring and evaluation techniques. It will be useful precise planning for collecting and recording relevant documents before downsizing implementation and defining possible indicators for downsizing evaluation.

Findings of long-term effects of downsizing indicated when downsizing is undermanaged; there is a danger of creation of an organizational down cycle which could be left unchecked over several years ⁵². Researches in Australia conclude by indicating a number of initiatives that would enable regulators, unions and employers to address the problems posed by downsizing more effectively ²⁵.

Conclusion

This study provides a relatively comprehensive perspective by studying advantages and disadvantages of each downsizing and could produce proper information for decision makings and planning. It is possible to mention to exclusion of studies in which it had been mentioned to downsizing in primary health care and other organizations providing health care, as one of the weaknesses of this study. So it is suggested to consider this point in future studies.

Acknowledgments

This study is a part of MSc thesis. It was recorded in Research Deputy (code: 5/53/8998). The authors thank Vice-Chancellor of Research of the Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Islamic Republic of Iran for the financial support provided.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. J Bogue R, H Hall C, M La Forgia G, M La Forgia, GR. Hospital Governance in Latin

- America Results from a Four Nation Survey. Washington, US: Human Development Department Latin America and Caribbean Region the World Bank and Health, Nutrition, and Population Department Human Development Network the World Bank; 2007.
- Recchel B, Wright S, Edwards N, Dowdeswell B, Mackee M. Investing in hospitals of the future. Copenhagen, Denmark: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2009.
- 3. Rives JM, Yousefi M. Economic dimensions of gender inequality: a global perspective. London, UK: Greenwood Publishing Group; 1997.
- Creese A, Parker DA, World Health Organization. Cost analysis in primary health care: training manual for programme managers.
 Geneva, Italy: World Health Organization;
 1994
- Gressani D, Saba J, Fetini H, Rutkowski M, Maeda A, Langenbrunner J. Islamic Republic of Iran Health Sector Review. The World Bank Group Human Development Sector Middle East and North Africa; 2007.
- 6. Gholamzadehnikjo R. *Msc.* Designing a model of public-private partnership in governmental hospitals. Tabriz: Tabriz University of Medical Sciences; 2012 (In Persian).
- 7. Cameron KS. Strategies for Successful Organizational Downsizing. *Hum Resour Manag* 1994; 33(2): 189-211.
- 8. Appelbaum, H. Steven, Everard, Andrea, Hung, T. S. Loretta. Strategic Downsizing: Critical Success Factors. *Manag Decision* 1999; 37(7): 26-39.
- Littler CR, Gandolfi F, editors. What happened to downsizing? Organizational continuity Managerial fashion & signaling. Academy of Management Conference; 2008.
- Piacenza M, Turati V, Vannoni D. Hospital industry restructuring and input substitutability: evidence from a sample of Italian hospitals. Societàitaliana Di Economiapubblica; 2007.
- 11. Bruke RJ. Survivors and victims of hospital restructuring and downsizing: who are the real victims? *Int J Nurs Stud* 2003; 40: 903–9.
- 12. Yoon J, Bruckner TA. Does Deinstitutionalization Increase Suicide? *HSR* 2009; 44:1385-405.
- 13. Arndt EM, Duchemin C, Duchemin KF. More Than'Bandaids": Emotional Support and Education during the Downsizing Pro-

- cess. Healthcare Manag FORUM 1993; 6(3): 5-10.
- 14. McKee M. Reducing hospital beds (what are lessons to be learned?). European observatory on health systems and policies; 2004.
- Fidler AH, Haslinger RR, Hofmarcher MM, Jesse M, Palu T. Incorporation of public hospitals: A "Silver Bullet" against overcapacity, managerial bottlenecks and resource constraints? Case studies from Austria and Estonia. Health Policy 2007; 81: 328-38.
- Duffield C, Kearin M, Johnston J, Leonard J. The impact of hospital structure and restructuring on the nursing workforce. Aust J Adv Nurs 2007; 24(4):42-6.
- 17. Tung Hsiao C, Yuan Pai J, Chiu H. The study on the outsourcing of Taiwan's hospitals: a questionnaire survey research survey research. *BMC* Health Serv Res serial on the Internet. 2008; 9:78 cited 2009 13 May. Available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6963/9/78.
- Kristensen T, Bogetof P, Pedersen KM. Potential gains from hospital mergers in Denmark. Health Care Manag Sci 2010; 13: 334

 45.
- Kemp RGM, Kersten N, Severijnen A. Price Effects of Dutch Hospital Mergers: An Expost Assessment of Hip Surgery. De Economist 2012; 160(3): 237-55.
- Nikolic LA, Maikisch P. Public-Private Partnerships and Collaboration in the Health Sector, An Overview with Case Studies from Recent European Experience. Washington, US: Health, Nutrition and Population, the World Bank; 2006.
- 21. Asefzadeh S (2005). Management and Research Hospital. 1st ed: Research deputy of Qazvin University of medical sciences. Qazvin (In Persian).
- 22. Jabbaribeiramy H, Bakhshian F, Jafari GA. The optimal management of resources in asadabadi's comprehensive network laboratory. Tehran:Resources management in hospital conference; 2002 (In Persian).
- Jodati A, Jabbaribeiramy H, Bakhshian F. Results of staff units downsizing in Iran health system network (Tabriz metro police experience). *Health Manag* 2006; 23(9): 51-8(In Persian).
- 24. Sadaghiani, A. Final report of hospital service units downsizing, research project's in Tehran province, development functional man-

- agement research center in Iran; 2005(In Persian).
- Quinlan, M. Organizational Restructuring/Downsizing, OHS Regulation and Worker Health and Wellbeing. Australia: School of Organization and Management University of New South Wales; 2007.
- Tabibi J, Maleki M, Mirzayee A, Farshid P. Effect of hospital wards integration on current expenditure in Tehran's boali hospital. Health Inform Manag 2010; 7(4): 490-5(In Persian).
- Torani S, Maleki M, Ghodosimoghadam S, Gohari M. Comperation of efficiency and effectiveness of Firoozgar hospital pharmacy before and after outsourcing. *Health Manag* 2009; 38(12): 59-71(In Persian).
- Tully P, Saint-Pierre E. Downsizing Canada's hospitals, 1986/87 to 1994/95. *Health Rep* 1997; 8(4): 33-9.
- Sheps SB, Reid RJ, Barer ML, Krueger H, McGrail KM, Green B, et al. Hospital downsizing and trends in health care use among elderly people in British Columbia. CMAJ 2000; 163(4): 397-401.
- 30. Vyssoki B, Willeit M, Blüml V, Höfer P, Erfurth A, Psota G, et al. Inpatient treatment of major depression in Austria between 1989 and 2009: Impact of downsizing of psychiatric hospitals on admissions, suicide rates and outpatient psychiatric services. *J Affect Disord* 2011; 133:93–6.
- 31. Brownell MD, Roos NP, Burchill C. Monitoring the impact of hospital downsizing on access to care and quality of care. *Med Care* 1999; 37(6 Suppl): [S135-50.
- Armstrong Stassen M, Terry HW Cuttaneo RJ. Sustaining a service quality initiative in the midst of downsizing can it be done? *J Qual-Manag* 2001; 6: 211 –33.
- 33. Collins AL, Noble R. Hospital Rightsizing: In Line with Long-Term Strategies and Economic Realities. *Healthc Manage Forum* 1992; 5(1): 4-18.
- 34. Ryder M, Scott W, Helm A. Is Downsizing and Disbanding Specialty Care Teams a Counterproductive Strategy for Cost Reduction in Health Care? *Nutrition* 1998; 14(9): 725-8.
- 35. Vahtera J, Kivimaki M, Forma P, Wikstrom J, Halmeenmaki T, Linna A & et al. Organizational downsizing as a predictor of disability pension: the 10-town prospective

- cohort study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2005; 59:238–42.
- Iverson RD, Pullman JA. Determinants of voluntary turnover and layoffs in an environment of repeated downsizing following a merger: an event history analysis. *J Manag* 2000; 26(5): 977–1003.
- 37. Brown C, Arnetz B, Petersson O. Downsizing within a hospital: cutting care or just costs? *Soc Sci Med* 2003; 57:1539–46.
- 38. Burke RJ, Greenglass ER. Effects of hospital restructuring on full time and part time nursing staff in Ontario. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2000; 37:163-71.
- 39. Burke JB, Ng EWS, Wolpin J. Hospital restructuring and downsizing: Effects on nursing staff well-being and perceived hospital functioning. *Eur J Psyc* 2010; 7(1): 81-98.
- 40. Duffield C, Kearin M, Johnston J, Leonard J. The impact of hospital structure and restructuring on the nursing workforce. *Aust J Adv Nurs* 2007; 24(4):42-6.
- 41. Krishnan R, Krishnan H. Effects of hospital mergers and acquisitions on prices. *J Bus Res.* 2003; 8: 647-56.
- 42. Ferdosi M, Farahabadi M, Rejalian F, Haghighat P. Outsourcing of medical record unit services: case assessment Isfahan's kashani hospital. *Health Inform Manag* 2010; 7: 658-68(In Persian).
- 43. Chandra H. Financial Management Analysis of Outsourcing of the Hospital Services for Cost Containment and Efficiency: Case Study of Sanjay Gandhi Post-Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, India. *J FinancManag Anal* 2007; 20(1):70-7.
- 44. Bevelacqua GD. A Review of the Downsizing of Eastern State Hospital and the Impact on Hampton Roads. Virginia, US: Office of the Inspector General Behavioral Health and Developmental Services; 2010.
- 45. Dunnigan M, Pollock AM. Downsizing of acute inpatient beds associated with private finance initiative: Scotland's case study. *BMJ J* serial on the Internet. 2003; 326 cited 2003 26 APRIL. Available from: bmj.com.
- 46. Richardson H. Laboratory medicine in Ontario: its downsizing and the consequences on quality Journal serial on the Internet. 1999 Date; 290: Available from: www.elsevier.com/ locate / clinchim.
- 47. Jabbaribeiramy H, Mousazadeh Y, Jannati A. Hospitals downsizing by using outsourcing and integration mechanisms (Study the views

- of experts and managers in Tabriz University of Medical Sciences) a qualitive study. *Mil Med* J 2013; 15(2): 133-42 (In Persian).
- 48. Mousazadeh Y, Jabbaribeiramy H, Jannati A, AsghariJafarabadiM.Identifying and Priority setting Hospital's Units for Outsourcing Based on Related Indicators: a Qualitative Study. *Health J 2013*;4(2):122-33(In Persian).
- 49. Jabbaribeiramy H, Tabibi J, Delgoshayee B, Mahmodi M, Bakhshian F. Comparative study of decentralization mechanism in health system delivery in various countries

- and provide model for Iran. *Health Manag* 2007; 27(10): 33-40(In Persian).
- 50. Jabbaribeiramy H, Bakhshian F. Decentralization in health system stewardship's function in different countries and provide a model for Iran. *Med J TbzUni Med Sci* 2007; 8(1):7-8(In Persian).
- 51. Kazemek EA, Channon BS. Nine Steps to Hospital Downsizing. *Healthcare FinancManag*1988; 42:96.
- 52. Downsizing The Long Term Effects. Virginia, US: Institute of Certified Professional Managers, 2008.

Appendix A: The Summery of Systematic Review Results Based on Advantages and Disadvantages of Different Hospital Downsizing Methods

Author/ year/Country	Downsizing method	Advantage	Disadvantage
CH. Duffield//2007/ Australia	Reduce Nurse's management positions	-	Reduction in nurses man- agement positions led to reduce safe care for patients
Torani, Maleki, Ghodosi- mogha- dam&Gohari/2009/Iran	Pharmacy outsourcing in Tehran`s Firouzgar hospital	Create income for hospital (100 million Rials monthly) cost reduction to zero and 80% reduction in time that managers spent on pharmacy affairs, increase 50% of service delivery	-
Sheps, Reid, Barer, Krueger, Mcgrail, Green, Evans &Hertzman/ 2000/Canada	Reduce the number of acute care beds	increase 2 or 3 percent in the use of home care services - no change in mor- tality rates	-
Hsiao, Pai& Chiu/2009/Taiwan	equipment and manpower outsourcing	Contract with limited man power, save energy for management. hospital provide requirement without much financial bur- den	-
G.Danieala/2007/Iran	Outsourcing services, nutrition, Landry, facilities, and nursing services in 41 pilot hospitals	Save money and energy to the employees, improve service quality	Lack of strong private sector and management skills for contact with private sector led to negative impact of outsourcing
Yoon Bruckner/ 2009 /USA	Reduce the number of psychiatric care beds	Although suicide rates unchanged but increase health society	-
Ferdosi, farahabadi, re- ja- lian&haghighat/2010/Iran	outsourcing of medical records in Isfahan`s Kashani hospital	Processes improvement=37.4, increase in customer satisfaction= 59% and compliance with the legal requirements =70%	-
Iverson & Pullman/ 2000/Australia	Reduce the number of employees in the hospital	-	un experienced employees inclined to leave organization more than experiences
H. Richardson/1999	mixed method (combined public and private labs), and reduce employees in Ontario	-	Impact on the quality was low but costs was reduced
Ardent &Duchemin/ 1993/Canada	mixed method (reduce the number of nursing units and hospital beds in Toronto)	50% reduction in the budget deficit	-
Regenberg, Joyce & Moeller/ 2002/USA	integrate library of 3 hospitals and nursing faculty in New Jersey	Save money due to the combined re- sources, buying power grew and achieve expanded access to health information	-

Appendix A:Continued...

Author/ year/Country	Downsizing method	Advantage	Disadvantage
R.J Burke/2002/Canada	Experiences of reducing the number of employees	-	Decrease job satisfac- tion, increase absentee- ism and weakened mental status among employees
Burke, Ng &Wolpin/ 2010/USA	Experiences of reduc- ing the number of em- ployees	-	Dysfunction and decreased well-being of hospital employees
Tully &Saiant-Pierre/ 1997/Canada	Reduce the number of beds in the public sec- tor by 14 percent	Increase the number of outpatient visits and reduce inpatient days. cost growth was negative in periods of time	
Brown, Arnetz&Petersson/ 2003/Canada	reduce the number of employees in 1994 to 1999	-	Quality of service remains unchanged - increase workload and decrease in mental capacity of employees
Vyssoki, Willeit, Blüml, Erfurth, Psota, Lesch&Kapusta/2011/Australia	30% reduction in the number of psychiatric beds	Treatment of depression increase by 360% - Suicide rates declined and it improved access to outpatient services	-
Brownell, Roos&Burchill/ 1999/Canada	Reduce the number of hospital beds in Win- nipeg	Increase outpatient care, decrease surgeries need to hospitalization and inpatient days - the quality of service remains unchanged	-
R.J Burke/ 2003/Canada	reduce the number of beds in Ontario	-	Job satisfaction and mental capacity de- creased among survival of downsizing
Piacenza,Turati&Vannoni/2007/Italy	Reduce the number of beds in Italian sample hospitals	-	reduce the number of beds without balancing the number of em- ployees cannot be ap- propriate effort to cut costs
Ryder, Scott & Helm/ 1998/USA	Decrease Some teams in hospital (infection control, intravenous feeding)	Reduction of mortality due to human errors, reduce costs of equip- ment	-
H.Chandra/2007?India	outsourcing of man- power and equipment	Reduce direct and indirect costs and generate revenue as a result of Outsourcing equipment such as MRI and ultrasound	-
G.D Bevelacqua/2010/USA	Reduce the number of beds for mental patient and use the power of the private sector (Mixed Method) in eastern states of US	Reduce 2.8 million dollars in costs, use of private power in outpa- tient services delivery to mental patient	Lack of access to adequate care

Appendix A:Continued...

Author/ year/Country	Downsizing method	dvantage	disadvantage
Dunnigan& Pollock/ 2003/Scotland	Reduce the number of beds and use of private sector power (Mixed Me- thod) in lotian	-	specialty care, acute, surgical and so on, Increase partici- pation of the private sector in the financing was not adequate and do not increase clinical activities
R.J Burke/2002/Canada	Experiences of reducing the number of employees		Decrease job satisfaction, increase absenteeism and weakened mental status among employees
Burke, Ng &Wolpin/ 2010/USA	Experiences of reducing the number of employees		Dysfunction and de- creased well-being of hospital employees
Collins & no- ble/1992/Canada	Reduce the number of employees and 50 aligned positions in Kitchener- Waterloo hospitals	\$ 2 million in spending cuts	-
Tabi- bi&maleki&mirzaee&fars hid/2010/Iran	Integrate surgical and men internal ward, and surgical and female wards in Bu- Ali hospital in Tehran	40% reduction in running expenditures	-
McKee M/2004/ Different Countries	Bed reducing	20% cost savings from bed reductions in UK, in Alberta/ Canada, in the 1990s found that a reduction of 50% in acute beds was associated with an18.5% reduction in deaths in hospital and an 83.3% reduction in the length of final stay	North America had increasing in cost of hospital care per patient, reductions in admissions but increase the average lengths of stay even more. In other hand staff workload per case increased due to the higher proportion of patients in the immediate