# **Original Article**





# Psycho-behavioral predictors of uncontrolled blood pressure: A casecontrol study

Zeinab Javadivala<sup>1</sup>, Akbar Ranjbarkhah<sup>2</sup>, Asghar Mohammadpoorasl<sup>3</sup>, Farhad Shekari<sup>3</sup>, Devender Bhalla<sup>4</sup>, Neda Gilani<sup>3,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Health Education & Promotion, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran <sup>2</sup>Member of the Student Research Committee, Faculty of Health, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran <sup>3</sup>Department of Statistics and Epidemiology, Faculty of Health, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran <sup>4</sup>Ple Universitaire Euclide Intergovernmental UN Treaty 49006/49007, Bangui, Central African Republic <sup>5</sup>Tabriz Health Services Management Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

## **ARTICLE INFO**

Article History: Received: 14 Feb. 2022 Accepted: 24 June 2022 ePublished: 20 Aug. 2022

#### Keywords:

Prediction; Blood pressure; Hypertension; Case-Control; Epidemiology

\*Corresponding Author: Neda Gilani, Email: gilanin@tbzmed.ac.ir

#### Abstract

**Background:** We aimed to determine the role of demographic, lifestyle, and personality trait factors in predicting control of blood pressure (BP) among patients with hypertension (HTN) in West Azerbaijan, Iran.

**Methods:** In this case control study we recruited participants from all primary health centers of Salmas city; who were at least 18 years of age, had a HTN diagnosis during the previous six months, and had a mandatory household record. Of 490 random subjects approached, 441 (84.2%) fulfilled our inclusion criteria (case: 221; control: 220). The age-matched controls were recruited from the same source population and were required to have controlled HTN. Data were collected through demographic Checklist, Ten-Item Personality Inventory (TIPI) and International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ).

**Results:** Upon multivariate analyses, factors related to personality traits subdomains including extraversion personality (odd ratio [OR]: 0.85; CI: 0.73, 0.97) was effective in control of BP. Factors related to uncontrolled BP were agreement and consciences subdomains (OR 1.26 [CI: 1.07, 1.48] and OR 1.21 [CI: 1.02, 1.44]), rare fruit consumption (OR 5.95 [CI: 1.24, 12.1]), Grade 1 and 2 obesities (OR 2.29 [CI: 1.28, 4.09] and OR 7.11 [CI: 2.21, 12.52]) and smoking (OR 3.27 [CI: 1.56, 6.89]).

**Conclusion:** In addition to regular physical activity and fruit consumption and quitting smoking; personality traits such as Agreement and conscience personality traits were predictive of HTN control. We believe our work provides the required knowledge to design comprehensive HTN prevention programs by taking into account the multi-level causality approach.

# Introduction

Hypertension (HTN) is a major, independent, and progressive but a preventable disease condition. It is also an important risk factor for other disease conditions, and has been connected with a large assortment of morbidities world over.1 For instance, coronary heart disease, heart failure, stroke, myocardial infarction, atrial fibrillation peripheral artery disease, chronic kidney disease, cognitive impairment, and wound healing are associated to the disease. HTN is also the leading single contributor to allcause mortality and disability worldwide, with about four million deaths every year and one in every eight deaths worldwide.<sup>1</sup> About half of the world's adult population is likely to have non-optimal (i.e., >110–115 mm Hg) systolic blood pressure (BP) levels.2 The importance of HTN can be further understood from a simple example that a mere ten-point increase in the diastolic pressure above 115/75 mm Hg can double the risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disorders such as stroke.1 Therefore, better understanding of its prevention, control, and adequate mitigation is key in promoting health and wellbeing in world's population.

HTN is often projected as a prerogative of the aged population, but, the relationship between BP and age is graded and continuous over one's life course. For example, age is a proxy of the probability and duration of exposure to numerous factors that increase BP gradually over time, such as excess sodium intake, gain of weight and obesity, alcohol intake, physical inactivity, etc. Others have also shown that atherosclerotic factors are more important than the level of BP alone, since such persons are more likely to derive benefits from interventions.<sup>3</sup> Beside these factors, there are other important risk factors, for instance, the prevalence of HTN and its consequences are greatest in those with lower socioeconomic status and urban dwellers; a phenomenon seen in both within and between countries.<sup>4</sup> In the last decade, social, mental, and economic changes in the Eastern Mediterranean and

© 2022 The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Middle Eastern countries have contributed to a surge of many cardiovascular risk factors, including HTN.<sup>5</sup>

Unfortunately, despite a grave epidemiological profile for HTN, there remains laxity in patient's attitudes towards HTN. For instance, in Saudi Arabia, merely 6.2% subjects maintain high adherence to anti-HTN medications6 and many people may find hard to accept that HTN may lead to serious consequences.7 In Iran, studies show8 that the frequency of HTN awareness is merely about 46.0%. The role of patients is more decisive in Middle-Eastern societies, where people tend to retain their strong ethnic identity, and tend to integrate religion and find Islamic values in treatment modalities, which in turn affect their attitude and help-seeking practices.9 Another reason behind the patient's lax attitude towards HTN could be related to one's personality traits. For instance, personality factors are likely to be associated with incidence and clinical diagnosis of HTN,10 as well as with adherence to treatment in patients with chronic conditions.<sup>10,11</sup> The importance of patient-level factors and patient's own role in the management of HTN can also be understood from the definition of adherence, which is the extent to which a person's behaviour- taking medication, following a diet, and/or executing lifestyle changes, corresponds with agreed recommendations from a health care provider.

Although many studies have explored factors in crosssectional designs<sup>10</sup> but, the predictive value of risk and protective factors can only be determined thru' casecontrol designs. So, the primary objective was to determine the role of demographic, lifestyle, and personality trait factors in predicting the control of BP among patients with arterial hypertension in West Azerbaijan, Iran.

# Materials and Methods Design and participants

In this case control study we recruited our participants from all primary health centers of Salmas, West Azerbaijan. Salmas is located northwest of Lake Urmia, near Turkey from March to August, 2019. It has a population of about 127864. The ethnic identity of the population is mainly Azerbaijanis and Kurds. For this study, the participants were required to have a formal diagnosis of HTN made anytime during the previous six calendar months, irrespective of their current anti-hypertensive treatment status. Uncontrolled HTN was defined as an "average arterial BP measured at the time of clinic visit to be  $\geq 140/90$  mm Hg in patients on treatment (at least one anti-hypertensive for minimum two weeks)".12,13 Other inclusion criteria for our study were that the participants be at least 18 years of age and have a household health record in any of the primary health centers of Salmas. Those with diabetes and/or cognitive disorders were excluded from the study. In Iran, the federal government has established health centers throughout the country. These centers are required to maintain a mandatory household file for each household under their respective catchment area. The health centers are run by a general physician and health

technicians. The health centers monitor and guide health houses, and provide out-patient care and referral to the district hospitals.

## Sampling

The sample size was determined based on the findings related to BMI of two groups of controlled BP and uncontrolled BP of the study by Arabzadeh et al.14 By considering these indices; 95% confidence level, and power of 80%, sample size was calculated at 147 per each groups by G-Power 3.1.2 software<sup>15</sup> (available at: https://www.psychologie.hhu.de/arbeitsgruppen/ allgemeine-psychologie-und-arbeitspsychologie/gpower). Considering a design effect of 1.5 and a dropout rate of 10%, the final sample size was determined to be 245 subjects for each group. For this study, we approached a random sample of 490 subjects for inclusion; of which, 441 (84.2%) subjects fulfilled our inclusion criteria and consented as well to participate (case: 221, control: 220). Initially, all subjects were contacted over telephone to check their eligibility and interest to participate, as well as for inviting them to come to the nearest health center for formal clinical assessment and data collection. The agematched controls (n= 220) were recruited from the same source population and were required to have controlled HTN, i.e. an average arterial BP of <140/90 mm Hg at the clinic visit in patients on treatment (at least one antihypertensive for minimum two weeks).

## Data collection

Data were collected by administering a demographic Checklist, Ten-Item Personality Inventory (TIPI) and International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). Furthermore, patients' BP, waist circumference (WC), weight, and body mass index (BMI) were also recorded. BP was measured with a mercury sphygmomanometer, twice in the same arm, after the participant had been seated at rest for 10–15 minutes. The systolic and diastolic BP measurements were the mean of the two readings. WC was evaluated using a measuring tape to the nearest 0.1 cm. The weight of an individual dressed in light clothing without shoes was recorded each time using a calibrated scale to the nearest 0.1 kg. Height was measured without shoes using a stadiometer to the nearest 0.1 cm.

#### **Ten-Item Personality Inventory**

TIPI<sup>16</sup> is a very short measure of the Big Five personality traits (openness, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and emotional stability). Each personality dimension is measured by two items. All items are rated on a 7-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (Strongly disagree) to 7 (Strongly agree). The total score may range between 10 and 70. Higher scores indicated better personality traits. TIPI has been validated in Iranian population and is found to have adequate validity and reliability.<sup>17</sup> The content and face validity of the TIPI were assessed qualitatively by panel of 10 experts. Cronbach's alpha was 0.85, indicating good internal consistency for TIPI.

## International Physical Activity Questionnaire

The Persian version of IPAQ was used to measure regular PA.<sup>18,19</sup> Information on the time spent on low, moderate and high activities on the basis of METs (Metabolic equivalents)-min/week's scores or the frequency of activities at week days and time spent on each activity. The calculations of MET's scores and PA classifications are revealed in the guidelines and other studies.<sup>19,20</sup>

## Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata statistical package version 16.21 Data were presented using frequencies and proportion for categorical variables and mean and standard deviation for normal numeric variables. Inferential statistics were calculated to compare the characteristics of patients with controlled and uncontrolled HTN. Between-group differences were calculated using Pearson chi-square; and with independent t tests. Univariable logistic regression was used to investigate the unadjusted effect of each variable in prediction of uncontrolled BP. Also, a stepwise multivariable logistic regression of reduced number of predictor variables was performed to build the best logistic regression model of uncontrolled BP (critical level of *P* < 0.1 for new variable entry and of *P*  $\ge$  0.2 for variable removal). The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were also calculated from logistic regression analyses. The model assumptions including collinearity (i.e. wide confidence intervals) and the presence of outliers were checked and were not in violation. The fit of the logistic regression model was confirmed by the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test. Inferential statistical tests were considered significant when P values were less than 0.05.

# Results

Among 441 participants, 310 were females (70.3%) and 131 (29.3%) were males; 210 (40.30%) were <60 and 231 (52.4%) were  $\geq$ 60 years of age; 287 (65.1%) were illiterate, and 113 (25.6%) were educated at the primary level. Also, 354 (80.3%) were married and the majority (324, 73.5%) had a low-income level. Among uncontrolled BP, most (57.1%) were  $\geq$ 60 years of age, and those with uncontrolled BP were older by an average 4.2 years. uncontrolled BP differed in terms of BMI and WC abnormalities, smoking status, use of table salt, type of cooking oil used, consumption of dairy products and fruits. Specific details are provided in Table 1.

Based on Table 2, the overall TIPI scores were not different between controlled and uncontrolled BP, although the mean individual trait score of extraversion was higher among those with controlled BP, while the mean individual trait scores of agreement, conscience, and emotional stability were higher among those with uncontrolled BP. Also, the mean IPAQ score was higher among those with controlled than uncontrolled BP, P<0.001. Also, based on  $\chi^2$  tests, the control of BP was found to vary with the level of PA status, P<0.001.

Based on Table 3, the univariate analyses showed that the risk factors for uncontrolled BP were age  $\geq 60$  years, smoking, Grade-1 and Grade-2 obesity, WC  $\geq 90$  cm levels of table salt use, levels of consuming non-liquid plant-based oils, rare consumption of vegetables, levels of fruit consumption, and low. The only protective factor for control of BP was primary education (OR 0.59 [CI: 0.38, 0.92]) (Table 3). Based on multivariate analyses, the risk factors for uncontrolled BP were age  $\geq 60$  years, smoking, levels of income, Grade 1 and 2 obesity (, rare fruit consumption, agreement and conscience personality traits. Also, the only protective factors for control of BP were extraversion personality trait (Table 4).

## Discussion

HTN as a disease condition is known since centuries; yet there are systematic struggles that continue to manifest. For instance, the lack of adequate awareness, prevention, control, and mitigation may be noted. Till recently, about half of the world's adult population is likely to have non-optimal BP levels.2 Within this enormous at-risk population pool and from the standpoint of epidemiology and pathophysiology, there are sub-groups with particular characteristics that require special focus, such as older adults. Older adults present unique challenges, such as health, dietary, nutritional, lifestyle, emotive, etc. (e.g., fragility, neuroticism, reduced mobility). These factors may affect their self-efficacy, self-concept, emotional stability, and general ability towards useful health behaviors. 22,23 Moreover, HTN has a fairly large "web of causation"; thus, any prevention or control mechanisms must be derived thru' multi-level causal inferences.

Based on our univariate analyses, the only protective factor for control of BP was primary education. With education comes greater health care awareness that may one help to overcome risks related to HTN, such as low physical activity (PA). Compared to other chronic disease conditions, such as diabetes, HTN and its risk factors are likely to be relatively less known to people with poor education, as these typically develop gradually over many years. Several studies have demonstrated a negative association of cardiovascular disease morbidity and mortality with education.<sup>24</sup> Since, regions would have poorly educated people, we stress the importance of a sound health policy able to reach out to this group, to make them better aware of HTN, as many such people may go un- or in-adequately treated.

Based on our multivariable analyses, we found that the risk for uncontrolled BP was related to demographic, lifestyle and personality factors. The problems in HTN control beyond 60 years of age could symbolize a more general gradual temporal increase in the severity of HTN<sup>25</sup> due to, for instance, increased arterial stiffness, obesity, Table 1. Participants' demographic characteristic and status of healthy behavior

ValideVesNoPartieleCenularFernale156 (50.3)154 (49.2)			Blood pressure control status: 441 (100), N(%)			
CenderItenule156 (50.3)154 (49.7) (75.1) $2.72\mu$ Age (y)Ado0.121 (57.6)0.002°Age (y)34094 (42.9)132 (57.1)0.002°Age (y)50.061 (1.7)64.22 (1.2.7)0.001°Education levelPrimary66 (56.4)47 (41.6)0.124°Secondary22 (00.5)15 (39.45)0.124°Maral shitesPrimary66 (56.4)47 (41.6)0.124°Maral shitesSecondary22 (00.5)15 (39.45)0.124°Maral shitesNarried179 (50.6)175 (49.4)0.655°Maral shitesSecondary26 (57.5)9 (61.5)0.655°Maral shitesSecondary51 (53.3)46 (46.7)0.435°Age (y)Secondary158 (51.6)164 (64.6)0.435°Age (y)Call shites156 (50.1)164 (50.8)0.455°Age (y)Secondary158 (51.6)164 (50.8)0.455°Age (y)Call shites158 (50.1)164 (51.6)0.455Age (y)Call shites158 (50.1)164 (51.6)0.005°Age (y)Call shites51 (53.1)164 (51.6)0.005°Age (y)Call shites51 (53.1)164 (51.7)-0.001°Age (y)Call shites51 (53.1)164 (57.7)0.001°Age (y)Call shites16 (52.7)79 (47.9)0.001°Age (y)Call shites16 (52.7)16 (52.7)0.001°Age (y)Call shites16	Variables	_	Yes 220 (49.9)	No 221 (50.1)	– P value	
CenterMale64 (48.9)67 (51.1)0.7.9*-6012 (57.5)089 (42.4)0.002*Age by100 (57.5)082 (12.7)0.001*Maan (SD)56.06 (12.7)0.22 (12.7)0.001*filterate130 (43.3)157 (54.7)		Female	156 (50.3)	154 (49.7)		
<table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container>	Gender	Male	64 (48.9)	67 (51.1)	0.778ª	
Age (y)26099 (42.9)132 (57.1)0.002*Mean SD)50.00 (1.2.7)62.22 (1.2.7)0.001Bacastana level10 (04.5.3)15 (19.4.5)		<60	121 (57.6)	89 (42.4)	0.0023	
IdeaSecond (1)Second (2)Second (2)Second (2)Hilterate130 (4)137 (4)147 (4)147 (4)Prinary66 (50.4)47 (40.6)147 (4)147 (4)Prinary20 (00.5)20 (00.5)12 (50.7)140 (50.7)Marial statu16 (10.7)147 (40.6)147 (40.7)140 (50.7)Marial statu16 (10.7)14 (10.7)140 (10.7)140 (10.7)Marial statu15 (53.3)48 (40.7)40 (55.7)140 (10.7)Marial statu15 (53.3)48 (40.7)140 (50.7)140 (50.7)Marial statu15 (53.3)48 (40.7)140 (50.7)140 (50.7)Marial statu15 (50.3)16 (50.7)140 (50.7)140 (50.7)Marial statu15 (50.7)15 (50.7)160 (50.7)140 (50.7)Marial statu15 (50.7)15 (50.7)140 (50.7)140 (50.7)Marial statu15 (50.7)15 (50.7)160 (50.7)140 (50.7)Marial statu15 (50.7)15 (50.7)160 (50.7)140 (50.7)Marial statu15 (50.7)15 (50.7)160 (50.7)160 (50.7)Marial statu15 (50.7)15 (50.7)16 (50.7)160 (50.7) <td>Age (y)</td> <td>≥60</td> <td>99 (42.9)</td> <td>132 (57.1)</td> <td>0.002ª</td>	Age (y)	≥60	99 (42.9)	132 (57.1)	0.002ª	
Interaction levelInteraction levelIn		Mean (SD)	58.08 (12.7)	62.22 (12.7)	0.001 <sup>c</sup>	
ParameterPrimary66 68.447 (4.0) $\end{red}$ Secondary22 (60.5)15 (30.4) $\end{red}$ Maried2 (50)2 (50) $\end{red}$ Maried179 (50.6)175 (99.4) $\end{red}$ Maried179 (50.6)175 (99.4) $\end{red}$ Maried179 (50.6)175 (99.4) $\end{red}$ Maried179 (50.6)175 (99.4) $\end{red}$ Maried150 (53.3)46 (50.7) $\end{red}$ Maried55 (53.3)46 (50.7) $\end{red}$ Maried158 (50.6)61 (48.4) $\end{red}$ Maried158 (50.6)158 (50.6)158 (50.6)158 (50.6)Maried168 (51.1)29 (40.7) $\end{red}$ Maried168 (52.1)29 (40.7) $\end{red}$ Maried168 (51.1)29 (40.7) $\end{red}$ Maried169 (52.1)29 (40.7) $\end{red}$ Maried168 (52.1)29 (40.7) $\end{red}$ Maried169 (52.1)29 (40.7) $\end{red}$ Maried169 (50.1)16 (52.7) $\end{red}$ Maried169 (50.1)16 (52.7)100 (51.6)Maried160 (50.1)16 (52.7)100 (51.6)Maried160 (50.1)16 (52.7)100 (51.6)Maried160 (50.1)100 (51.6)100 (51.6)Maried160 (50.1)100 (51.6)100 (51.6)Maried160 (50.1)100 (51.6)100 (51.6)Maried160 (51.6)160 (51.6)100		Illiterate	130 (45.3)	157 (54.7)		
Education (web)Secondary22 (60.53)15 (94.45)0.12.4°Mariel21502 (50)2 (50)Marial statusSingle41 (47.1)(65.63)0.658'Marriel150 (50.0)75 (19.4)0.658'0.615Income2.4 a month55 (53.3)46 (6.7)0.433'Jona5 (85.1)0.61 (48.4)0.962'Jona158 (51.6)61 (48.4)0.962'Jona158 (51.6)61 (48.4)0.962'Jona158 (51.6)61 (48.4)0.962'Jona158 (51.6)61 (48.4)0.962'Jona158 (51.6)61 (48.4)0.962'Jona158 (50)158 (50)158 (50)Jona158 (51.6)168 (57.1)20.001'Jona200120 (41.3)160 (57.1)Jona290120 (41.3)160 (57.1)Jona290120 (41.3)160 (57.1)Jona290120 (41.3)160 (57.1)Jona290120 (41.3)160 (57.1)Jona290120 (41.3)160 (57.1)Jona290120 (41.3)160 (57.1)Jona solid emissiol or animal190 (42.1)160 (57.1)Jona solid emissiol or animal190 (41.1)160 (41.1)Jona solid emissiol or animal190 (42.1)160 (41.1)Jona solid emissiol or animal190 (42.1)160 (42.1)Jona solid emissiol or animal190 (42.1)160 (42.1)Jona solid emissiol or animal190 (45.1) <td< td=""><td>Education loval</td><td>Primary</td><td>66 (58.4)</td><td>47 (41.6)</td><td colspan="2">0.124b</td></td<>	Education loval	Primary	66 (58.4)	47 (41.6)	0.124b	
Inducessity2 (30)2 (50)Auticl statusSingle41 (47.)46 (52.9)Married179 (50.6)76 (46.08.9)Married179 (50.6)164 (50.8)Autonh55 (53.3)46 (46.7)0.435*Jaa monh57 (35.3)46 (46.7)0.435*John158 (50.1)61 (48.4)0.96*John158 (50.1)61 (48.4)0.96*John158 (50.1)158 (50.1)60 (52.1)John158 (50.1)76 (45.6)168 (52.1)70 (59.6)John158 (50.1)76 (45.6)168 (52.1)-0.00*John168 (52.1)76 (45.6)168 (52.1)-0.00*John168 (52.1)76 (45.1)163 (55.7)-0.00*John168 (50.1)163 (55.7)-0.00*-0.00*John168 (50.1)163 (55.7)-0.00*-0.00*John168 (50.1)163 (55.7)-0.00*-0.00*John169 (50.1)163 (55.7)-0.00*-0.00*John169 (50.1)163 (55.7)-0.00*-0.00*John169 (50.1)164 (50.1)164 (50.1)-0.00*John169 (50.1)161 (50.1)161 (50.1)-0.00*John169 (50.1)161 (50.1)161 (50.1)-0.00*John160 (50.1)161 (50.1)161 (50.1)-0.00*John160 (50.1)161 (50.1)161 (50.1)-0.00*John161 (50.1)161 (50.1)161 (50.1)-0.00*<		Secondary	22 (60.55)	15 (39.45)	0.124	
<table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-container></table-container></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container>		University	2 (50)	2 (50)		
NativalishAlaried179 (30.6)175 (49.4)10.309Income<2 a Month	Manifed status	Single	41 (47.1)	46 (52.9)		
Income  24 a month160 (49.2)144 (50.8)Income2.4 a month55 (53.3)48 (46.7)0.435'>4 a month5 (85.3)96 (15.9)96 (15.9)JohEmployee158 (51.6)61 (48.4)0.622'Housewife158 (50.0)158 (50.0)158 (50.0)158 (50.0)JohNormal72 (43.3)40 (35.7)36 (35.7)JohCovereight68 (52.1)79 (47.9)30 (01.9)BMHCovereight68 (52.1)79 (47.9)30 (01.9)Grade 1 obesity57 (41.6)20 (53.0)60 (53.0)30 (10.9)JohnCovereight68 (52.1)79 (47.9)30 (01.9)Mast (cm)-0092 (61.3)56 (35.7)30 (01.9)Johnshing128 (44.3)163 (55.7)30 (01.9)30 (01.9)Johnshing128 (44.3)163 (55.7)30 (01.9)30 (01.9)Johnshing128 (44.3)163 (55.7)30 (01.9)30 (01.9)Johnshing164 (52.2)187 (47.8)30 (01.9)30 (01.9)Johnshing164 (52.2)187 (47.8)30 (01.9)30 (01.9)Johnshing164 (52.2)187 (47.8)30 (01.9)30 (01.9)Johnshing164 (52.2)187 (47.8)30 (01.9)30 (01.9)Johnshing164 (52.9)120 (44.8)150 (55.9)30 (01.9)Johnshing164 (52.9)120 (44.8)150 (55.9)30 (01.9)Johnshing12.0 month130 (45.1)120 (45.8)30 (01.9) <td>Marital status</td> <td>Married</td> <td>179 (50.6)</td> <td>175 (49.4)</td> <td>0.565"</td>	Marital status	Married	179 (50.6)	175 (49.4)	0.565"	
income24 a month55 (3.3)44 (4.6.7)94 (6.7)14 a month50.8.596 (6.5)10JapaImplyce46 (6.7)2.03.3.1JapaJobUm emplyce158 (50)158 (50)158 (50)Honewrife158 (50)158 (50)158 (50)158 (50)JapaNomal72 (4.3)40 (5.7)JapaMathematic163 (20)79 (4.9)40 (5.7)JapaJob collJob coll16 (20)70 (4.9)JapaJapaColl16 (20)16 (20)20 (8.1)JapaJapa-6092 (61.3)36 (30.7)JapaJapaJapa coll16 (20)16 (20)16 (20)JapaJapaJapa coll17 (30)16 (20)16 (20)JapaJapaJapa collJapa16 (20)16 (20)JapaJapaJapa collJapaJapaJapaJapaJapaJapa collJapaJapaJapa		< 2 a Month	160 (49.2)	164 (50.8)		
154 a month5 (38.5)9 (61.5)AmoundEmployee4 (6.7)2 (3.3)JobUn employee158 (51.6)64 (48.4)0.962*1Housevire158 (50)40 (35.7)30.01*BMHGoade 1 obesity57 (41.6)80 (58.4)70 (47.9)Goade 2 obesity57 (18.5)22 (41.5)70.01*Cade 2 obesity57 (18.5)22 (41.5)70.01*Matt (cm)2.902.01 (31.3)80 (35.7)70.01*2.90128 (43.3)16 (35.7)70.01*70.01*Matt (cm)120 (45.2)187 (47.8)70.01*70.01*Matt (cm)10 (20.1)16 (20.1)16 (20.1)16 (20.1)70.01*Matt (cm)10 (20.1)16 (20.1)16 (20.1)70.01*70.01*Matt (cm)10 (20.1)16 (20.1)16 (20.1)70.01*70.01*Matt (cm)10 (20.1)16 (20.1)16 (20.1)70.01*70.01*Matt (cm)10 (20.1)10 (20.1)10.01*70.01*70.01*Matt (cm)10 (40.1)10 (40.1)10.01*70.01*70.01*Matt (cm)10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (	Income	2-4 a month	55 (53.3)	48 (46.7)	0.435ª	
ImageImageImageImageImageImageJobUn employee158 (50)16 (48.4)0.962Housewire158 (50)158 (50)158 (50)168 (50)AmageNormal72 (64.3)40 (35.7)AmageAmageOreveright66 (51)79 (47.9)AmageAmage168 (52)78 (48.7)AmageAmageAmage169128 (43.1)163 (55.7)AmageAmage129 (42.2)163 (55.7)AmageAmageAmage163 (52.2)167 (47.8)AmageAmageAmage163 (52.2)147 (47.8)AmageAmageAmage163 (52.2)147 (47.8)AmageAmageAmage163 (52.2)147 (47.8)AmageAmageAmage164 (52.2)147 (47.8)AmageAmageAmage164 (52.2)147 (47.8)AmageAmageAmage164 (52.2)147 (53.9)AmageAmageAmage164 (52.2)154 (53.1)AmageAmageAmage164 (53.1)154 (53.1)AmageAmageAmage12 (40.2)14 (53.1)14 (53.1)AmageAmage12 (40.2)14 (53.1)14 (53		>4 a month	5 (38.5)	9 (61.5)		
<table-cell></table-cell>		Employee	4 (66.7)	2 (33.3)		
Housewife158 (50)158 (50)Ammai72 (4.3)40 (35.7)Ammai72 (4.3)40 (35.7)Orenveight66 (52.1)79 (47.9)Grade Lobesity57 (41.6)80 (68.4)Crade 2 obesity57 (41.6)80 (68.4)Aust (cm)-9092 (61.3)68 (63.7)Agon240 (52.2)187 (47.8)-000*Bally mokingNom240 (52.2)187 (47.8)MappingNom240 (52.2)147 (47.8)Aust (cm)240 (52.2)120 (44.8)-000*Use table saltSometimes66 (44)44 (56.2)Seldom148 (55.2)120 (44.8)-000*Top of oil consumedAcombination original and solid130 (45)139 (45.9)Atomotination liquid and solid130 (45)159 (55)-0.00*Top of all consumed12 a momith454 (51.8)-0.00*Atomotination liquid and solid130 (45)159 (55)-0.00*Atomotination liquid and solid130 (45)159 (55)-0.00*Atomotination Consumed12 (40.1)154 (45.9)-0.00*Atomotination Consumed146 (51.9)154 (45.9)-0.00*Atomotination Consumed142 (51.8)156 (51.8)-0.00*Atomotination Consumed142 (51.8)156 (51.8)-0.00*Atomotination Consumed12 (40.18)156 (41.9)-0.00*Atomotination Consumed142 (51.8)156 (51.8)-0.00*Atomotination Consumed12 (41.8)12 (41.8	Job	Un employee	158 (51.6)	61 (48.4)	0.962ª	
Normal72 (4.3)40 (0.5.7)Overweight86 (52.1)79 (47.9)Grade 1 obesity57 (41.6)80 (68.4)Grade 2 obesity57 (18.5)22 (81.5)Wati (cm)-9092 (61.3)58 (88.7)290128 (4.3)163 (55.7)-0.01°29178 (90204 (52.2)187 (47.8)290128 (4.3)163 (55.7)-0.01°201 synokingNo204 (52.2)187 (47.8)Mass66 (61.4)34 (68)-0.00°Mass66 (64.4)84 (50.6).000°Meate all148 (55.2)120 (48.1)Seldom148 (55.2)120 (48.1)Type of oil consumedA combination of liquid and solid130 (45)159 (55)Consumption of fast food or Liquid plant only171 (51.5)38 (34.9)Consumption of fast food or Liquid plant only174 (51.5)164 (48.5)Dialy milk and dairy-2 shares76 (44.7)94 (55.3)0.005°Arealy Never174 (51.5)164 (48.5).005°.005°Consumption of fast food or Liquid plant only71 (65.1)36 (34.9).005°Arealy Never174 (51.5)164 (48.5).005°.005°Consumption of fast food or Liquid plant only2 (26.6)57 (51.4)Arealy Never174 (51.5)164 (48.5).005°Dialy milk and dairy-2 shares76 (44.7)94 (55.3).005°Quant Arealy Solares33 (46.6)103 (55.4).005°Quant		Housewife	158 (50)	158 (50)		
BMOverweight66 65.1)79 (47.9) $\mathcal{AOP}^{0}$ Grade 1 obesity57 (41.6)80 (58.4) $\mathcal{AOP}^{0}$ Grade 2 obesity5 (18.5)22 (81.5) $\mathcal{AOP}^{0}$ $\mathcal{M}_{01}(m)$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{0}$ 128 (44.3)163 (55.7) $\mathcal{AOD}^{0}$ $\mathcal{AD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{ADD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AD}^{01}$ $\mathcal{AOD}^{01}$ <td></td> <td>Normal</td> <td>72 (64.3)</td> <td>40 (35.7)</td> <td></td>		Normal	72 (64.3)	40 (35.7)		
BMI         Crade 1 obesity         57 (41.6)         80 (58.4)         <0.001*           Grade 2 obesity         5 (18.5)         22 (81.5)         2001*           Waist (cm)         -90         128 (44.3)         163 (55.7)         -0.01*           Daily smoking         No         204 (52.2)         187 (47.8)         -0.00*           Daily smoking         No         204 (52.2)         187 (47.8)         -0.00*           Mate tembershing         16 (32)         34 (68)         -0.00*           Mapped basilt         Sometimes         6 (26.1)         17 (73.9)		Overweight	86 (52.1)	79 (47.9)		
Image: constraint of the section of	BMI	Grade 1 obesity	57 (41.6)	80 (58.4)	<0.001 <sup>b</sup>	
		Grade 2 obesity	5 (18.5)	22 (81.5)		
Waist (cm)         ≥90         128 (43.)         163 (5.7)         Choin's           Baily smoking         163 (5.7)         163 (5.7)         Amothe and antical and antical antita antical antical antita antital antical antita ant		<90	92 (61.3)	58 (38.7)		
No204 (52.)187 (47.8) $\partial OO^2$ Yes16 (32)34 (68) $\partial OO^2$ Mayas6 (26.1)17 (73.9) $\mathcal{A}$ Leable saltSometimes66 (44)84 (50) $\partial OO^2$ Seldom148 (52.)120 (44.8) $\mathcal{A}$ Top of oil consumedA combination of liquid and solid130 (45) $\mathcal{A}$ (55.8)Liquid plant only71 (65.1)38 (34.9) $\mathcal{A}$ Consumption of fast food or carbonated beverages2 a week1 (33.3)2 (66.7)Rarely/Never174 (51.5)164 (48.5) $\mathcal{A}$ Dati y mik and dairy $\mathcal{A}$ shares76 (44.7)94 (55.3) $\mathcal{A}$ Pagetable consumption $\mathcal{A}$ shares132 (36.8)122 (46.2)Karely2 (50)2 (50) $\mathcal{A}$ $\mathcal{A}$ Pagetable consumption $\mathcal{A}$ shares33 (44.6)103 (55.4) $\mathcal{A}$ Fuit consumption $\mathcal{A}$ shares132 (30.4) $\mathcal{A}$ $\mathcal{A}$ Pagetable consumption $\mathcal{A}$ shares33 (44.6)103 (55.4) $\mathcal{A}$ Fuit consumption $\mathcal{A}$ shares32 (30.1) $\mathcal{A}$ $\mathcal{A}$ Fuit consumption $\mathcal{A}$ shares32 (30.1) $\mathcal{A}$ $\mathcal{A}$ Fuit consumption $\mathcal{A}$ shares162 (3.9) $\mathcal{A}$ $\mathcal{A}$ </td <td>Waist (cm)</td> <td>≥90</td> <td>128 (44.3)</td> <td>163 (55.7)</td> <td>&lt;0.001ª</td>	Waist (cm)	≥90	128 (44.3)	163 (55.7)	<0.001ª	
Daily smoking         Yes         16 (32)         34 (68)         0.007*           Mays         6 (6 (4)         34 (6)		No	204 (52.2)	187 (47.8)		
Always6 (26.1)17 (73.9)Use table saltSometimes66 (44)84 (56)0.005*Seldom148 (55.2)120 (44.8)120 (44.8)Type of oil consumedOil only solid semi-solid or animal19 (44.2)24 (55.8)Type of oil consumedA combination of liquid and solid130 (45)159 (55)0.001*Liquid plant only71 (65.1)38 (34.9)38 (34.9)Consumption of fast food or carbonated beverages2 a week1(33.3)2 (66.7)Rarely/Never174 (51.5)164 (48.5)0.443*Daily milk and dairy<2 shares	Daily smoking	Yes	16 (32)	34 (68)	0.007ª	
Use table saltSometimes66 (4)84 (5)0.005°Seldom148 (55.2)120 (44.8)Type of oil consumedA combination of liquid and solid19 (44.2)24 (55.8)A combination of liquid and solid130 (45)159 (55)0.001°Liquid plant only71 (65.1)38 (34.9)1000°Consumption of fast food or carbonated beverages1.2 a work1 (33.3)2 (66.7)Rarely/Never174 (51.5)164 (48.5)0.443°Daily milk and dairy<2 shares		Always	6 (26.1)	17 (73.9)		
Seldom148 (52.)120 (44.8)A combination of liquid an solid or animal19 (44.2)24 (55.8)Type of oil consumedA combination of liquid and solid130 (45)159 (55)Liquid plant only71 (65.1)38 (34.9)Consumption of fast food or carbonated beverages1 2 a woek1 (33.3)2 (66.7)Rarely/Never143 (45.5)55 (55)0.443°Daily milk and dairy-2 a month45 (45)55 (55)0.443°Agarely2 (28.6)5 (71.4)	Use table salt	Sometimes	66 (44)	84 (56)	0.005ª	
Oil only solid semi-solid or animal19 (44.2)24 (55.8)Type of oil consumedA combination of liquid and solid130 (45)159 (55) $0.001^{\circ}$ Liquid plant only71 (65.1)38 (34.9) $2$ (66.7) $2$ aweek1 (33.3) $2$ (66.7)Consumption of fast food or carbonated beverages1.2 a month45 (45)55 (55) $0.443^{\circ}$ Rarely/Never174 (51.5)164 (48.5) $0.005^{\circ}$ Daily milk and dairy<2 shares		Seldom	148 (55.2)	120 (44.8)		
Type of oil consumedA combination of liquid and solid130 (45)159 (55)0.0014Liquid plant only71 (65.1)38 (34.9)Consumption of fast food or carbonated beverages1.2 a week1 (33.3)2 (66.7)1.2 a month45 (45)55 (55)0.443aConsumption of fast food or carbonated beverages1.2 a month164 (48.5)0.443aDaily milk and dairy<2 shares		Oil only solid semi-solid or animal	19 (44.2)	24 (55.8)		
Liquid plant only71 (65.1)38 (34.9)Consumption of fast food or cabonated beverages2 a week1 (33.3)2 (66.7)1-2 a month45 (45)55 (55)0.443°Rarely/Never174 (51.5)164 (48.5)0Daily milk and dairy<2 shares	Type of oil consumed	A combination of liquid and solid	130 (45)	159 (55)	0.001ª	
$\begin{array}{ccc} & 1 & 33.3 & 2 & (66.7) \\ & 1-2 & a \ month & 45 & (45) & 55 & (55) & (0.443^{a}) \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		Liquid plant only	71 (65.1)	38 (34.9)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2 a week	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)		
Rarely/Never174 (51.5)164 (48.5)Rarely2 (28.6)5 (71.4)Daily milk and dairy $<2$ shares76 (44.7)94 (55.3)0.085 <sup>b</sup> $\geq 2$ shares142 (53.8)122 (46.2) $<$ Vegetable consumption $<3$ shares83 (44.6)103 (55.4)0.205 <sup>b</sup> $3-5$ shares135 (53.8)116 (2.46) $<$ Fruit consumption2 shares68 (43.9)87 (56.1)0.005 <sup>a</sup> $2 -4$ shares149 (55)122 (45) $<$	Consumption of fast food or	1-2 a month	45 (45)	55 (55)	0.443ª	
$ \begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	carbonated beverages	Rarely/Never	174 (51.5)	164 (48.5)		
Daily milk and dairy $<2$ shares $76$ (44.7) $94$ (55.3) $0.085^{b}$ $\geq 2$ shares $142$ (53.8) $122$ (46.2) $2$ (50) $2$ (50) $2$ (50)         Vegetable consumption $<3$ shares $83$ (44.6) $103$ (55.4) $0.205^{b}$ $A$		Rarely	2 (28.6)	5 (71.4)		
$\geq 2$ shares       142 (53.8)       122 (46.2)         Rarely       2 (50)       2 (50) $< 3$ shares       83 (44.6)       103 (55.4)       0.205 b $3 - 5$ shares       135 (53.8)       116 (2.46)       0         Fruit consumption       2 shares       68 (43.9)       87 (56.1)       0.005 a $2 - 4$ shares       149 (55)       122 (45)       0.005 a	Daily milk and dairy	<2 shares	76 (44.7)	94 (55.3)	0.085 <sup>b</sup>	
Rarely         2 (50)         2 (50)           Vegetable consumption         <3 shares		≥2 shares	142 (53.8)	122 (46.2)		
Vegetable consumption         <3 shares         83 (44.6)         103 (55.4)         0.205 b           3-5 shares         135 (53.8)         116 (2.46)         116 (2.46)         116 (2.46)           Fruit consumption         2 shares         68 (43.9)         12 (80)         0.005 <sup>a</sup> 2-4 shares         149 (55)         122 (45)         122 (45)	Vegetable consumption	Rarely	2 (50)	2 (50)		
3-5 shares         135 (53.8)         116 (2.46)           Rarely         3 (20)         12 (80)           Fruit consumption         2 shares         68 (43.9)         87 (56.1)         0.005 <sup>a</sup> 2-4 shares         149 (55)         122 (45)         122 (45)		<3 shares	83 (44.6)	103 (55.4)	0.205 <sup>b</sup>	
Rarely         3 (20)         12 (80)           Fruit consumption         2 shares         68 (43.9)         87 (56.1)         0.005 <sup>a</sup> 2-4 shares         149 (55)         122 (45)		3-5 shares	135 (53.8)	116 (2.46)		
Fruit consumption         2 shares         68 (43.9)         87 (56.1)         0.005 <sup>a</sup> 2-4 shares         149 (55)         122 (45)	Fruit consumption	Rarely	3 (20)	12 (80)		
2-4 shares 149 (55) 122 (45)		2 shares	68 (43.9)	87 (56.1)	0.005ª	
		2-4 shares	149 (55)	122 (45)		

<sup>a</sup> Pearson chi-square; <sup>b</sup> Fisher's exact test; <sup>c</sup> Independent t test.

### Javadivala et al

Table 2. Distribution of personality traits and physical activity in two groups of patients with controlled and uncontrolled blood pressure

Variable		Blood pressure control status				
		Yes		No		<i>P</i> value <sup>a</sup>
		Mean (SD)	Max-Min	Mean (SD)	Max-Min	
Extraversion		(1.85) 8.9	2-14	(1.75) 8.32	2-14	0.001
Agreement		(1.52) 8.24	3-12	(1.5) 8.63	2-13	0.007
Conscience		(1.3) 8.02	5-14	(1.47) 8.36	4-14	0.010
Emotional stability		(1.62) 8.15	4-12	(1.88) 8.51	4-14	0.030
being open		(1.62) 8.56	3-14	(1.7) 8.73	3-14	0.283
Total instrument score		(4.94) 41.90	28-53	(5.07) 42.57	28-62	0.155
Physical activity score		3071.01 (39.16)	82-39216.60	1667.59(2880.25)	0-18186	< 0.001
		Numbe	er (%)	Number	r (%)	
Personality characteristics	Week (10-30)	1 (0.	50)	1 (0.5	50)	
	Medium (30-50)	199 (90)		207 (94.1)		0.312 <sup>ь</sup>
	Strong (50-70)	21 (9.5)		12 (5.5)		
Physical activity status	Inactive	51 (23.2)		116 (52.7)		
	Low activity	97 (44.1)		69 (31.2)		< 0.001
	Active	72 (3	2.7)	35 (15	5.9)	

SD, standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup> Independent sample *t* test; <sup>b</sup> Pearson chi-square.

elevated total cholesterol and low high-density lipoprotein levels, etc. But, in our study, the OR of age factor for BP control was not high, probably because our older adults were near about 60 years of age; and the age effects probably manifest further down the age. Others have also shown that, in general, BP control becomes difficult to achieve with increasing.23 Lifestyle modifications are often projected as the only or a cornerstone treatment for controlling HTN in older adults, either with or without active.13 In our study as well, lifestyle factors such as rare fruit consumption and Grade-2 obesity were found to yield fairly high ORs; meaning that lifestyle factors are critical in achieving adequate BP control among older adults. However, one also needs to evaluate here about the possibility of challenges in adequate uptake of recommended lifestyle modifications by older adults. Older adults have limitations, such as an age-related decline in mobility, emotional instability, etc., and their day-to-day lifestyle has been set through habitual cues and practices formed over the years. So, it may not be reasonable to anticipate that older adults may swiftly adopt required lifestyle changes. This difficulty could be one of the reasons behind the intricacy in achieving BP control among older adults.<sup>26</sup> So, we believe that interventions on lifestyle modifications must start early in age and be also integrated with mental health or behavioral interventions.

Also, part of the problem in assuring uptake of lifestyle modifications for achieving optimal BP control in one's later ages can be viewed thru' personality traits as well. For instance, we found that the traits of extraversion were protective for BP control. So, maneuvering one's awareness of the "impact that their own behavior would have on themselves and those around them" may help to devise suitable personality-based educational actions. Others have also shown that personality traits are modifiable and are moderators of intervention effects.<sup>27</sup> Evidence is consistent with our findings that revealed extraversion as being associated with BP control.10 For instance, individuals who are more extraversion are more likely to do regular physical activity, have regular sleep patterns, have adequate sleep,<sup>28</sup> tend to be less sedentary,<sup>26</sup> have more peak aerobic capacity,<sup>29-31</sup> and tend to engage in behaviors with favorable health and social consequences.<sup>32</sup> Muslims are instructed by The Holy Quran to do "dose-based" daily usual religion practice. Religion is likely to provide sustainable population health benefits thru' many possible ways, such as promoting abstinence, discipline, positive attitude and knowledge towards health maintenance, etc., as it does not require to uptake difficult lifestyle changes.33 Usual religion practice is more pertinent among older adults since older adults are more inclined to seek health and welfare benefits through practice of religion.34,35

Our study has few limitations. For instance, our sample had more females than males However, higher presentation of females in our study may help evade misconceptions that females, especially of the Muslim World, are less likely to seek or access care, at least based on our study. We used case-control design, and calculated odds ratios and effect sizes. Although, odd ratios and effect sizes are standard measures, yet we do not make any cause-effect assertions. Nevertheless, our study provides adequate meat to devise interventions based on factors that we explored in this study.

## Conclusions

To conclude, several demographic, lifestyle and personality factors were associated with uncontrolled HTN. We recommend to policy-makers to integrate our findings for Table 3. Univariable (unadjusted) logistic regression model for factors association with uncontrolled hypertension

Voriable			Unadjusted		
	Category	OR (95% CI)	P value*		
Gender	Female		Reference category		
Gender	Male	1.06 (0.70, 1.60)	0.778		
Age (v)	<60		Reference category		
	≥60	1.81 (1.24, 2.56)	0.002		
	Illiterate		Reference category		
Education level	Primary	0.59 (0.38, 0.92)	0.019		
	Secondary	0.58 (0.27, 1.27)	0.344		
	University	0.83 (0.50, 1.96)	0.851		
Marital status	Single		Reference category		
	Married	1.15 (0.17, 2.84)	0.566		
Daily smoking	No		Reference category		
, 0	Yes	2.32 (1.42,3.34)	0.009		
	< 2 a Month		Reference category		
Income	2-4 a month	0.85 (0.15, 5.33)	0.478		
	>4 a month	1.75 (0.58, 5.35)	0.322		
	Livestock		Reference category		
	Farmer	2.18 (0.14, 4.36)	0.417		
lob	Manual worker	2.08 (0.12, 5.45)	0.421		
,	Employee	2.57 (0.18, 6.33)	0.346		
	Freelance	1.88 (0.11, 2.78)	0.503		
	Housewife	2.00 (0.11, 5.08)	0.427		
	Normal		Reference category		
PMI	Overweight	1.65 (1.01, 2.71)	0.045		
DMI	Grade 1 obesity	2.53 (1.40, 4.23)	<0.001		
	Grade 2 obesity	7.92 (2.79, 12.52)	<0.001		
Waist (cm)	<90				
Waist (CIII)	≥90	2.02 (1.35, 3.02)	<0.001		
	Seldom		Reference category		
Use table salt	Sometimes	1.57 (1.05 ,2.35)	0.011		
	Always	3.50 (1.34, 9.14)	0.028		
	Liquid plant only				
Type of oil consumed	Oil only solid semi-solid or animal	2.91 (1.45, 3.60)	0.019		
	A combination of liquid and solid	2.36 (1.15, 4.85)	<0.001		
	2 a week	1.58 (0.85, 2.91)	0.146		
Consumption of fast food or carbonated	1-2 a month	1.16 (0.64,2.12)	0.614		
Develages	Rarely / Never		Reference category		
	≥2 shares		Reference category		
Daily milk and dairy consumption unit	<2 shares	2.91 (0.55, .27)	0.207		
	Rarely	1.44 (0.98, .12)	0.065		
	3-5 shares		Reference category		
Vegetable consumption unit per week	<3 shares	0.86 (0.67 ,1.10)	0.880		
	Rarely	1.16 (0.16 ,8.39)	0.028		
	2-4 shares		Reference category		
Fruit consumption unit per week	2 shares	1.56 (1.05, 2.32)	0.016		
	Rarely	4.88 (1.35,17.70)	0.028		
Extraversion	1	1.20 (1.04 ,1.38)	0.001		
Agreement		0.84 (0.75, 0.93)	0.008		
Conscience		0.85 (0.73, 0.95)	0.011		
Emotional stability		0.88 (0.71, 0.96)	0.031		
	Active		Reference category		
Physical activity status	Low activity	4.68 (2.78, 78)	<0.001		
socar activity status	inactive	1 46 (0.88 43)	0 142		
		1.10 (0.00, .43)	0.172		

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; OR, Odd ratio; CI, Confidence interval.

## Javadivala et al

Table 4. Multivariable (adjusted) logistic regression model for factors association with uncontrolled hypertension using stepwise approach

		Adjusted		
variable	Category	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	
Age	<60	Reference category		
Age	≥60	1.98 (0.70, 1.60)	0.014	
	Active	Reference ca	itegory	
Physical activity status	Low activity	1.78 (0.92, 3.41)	0.084	
	Inactive	6.11 (3.04,12.27)	<0.001	
Marital status	Single	Reference category		
	Married	1.67 (0.89, 3.13)	0.108	
Daily smoking	No	Reference ca	itegory	
Daily shloking	Yes	3.27 (1.56, 6.89)	0.002	
	< 2 a Month	Reference category		
Income	2-4 a month	1.70 (0.92, 3.14)	0.088	
	>4 a month	6.32(1.57,11.49)	0.009	
	Liquid plant only	Reference category		
Type of oil consumed	A combination of liquid and solid	2.01 (1.15, 3.53)	0.014	
	Oil only solid semi-solid or anima	2.77 (1.12, 6.84)	0.028	
	Seldom	Reference category		
Use table salt	Sometimes	1.52 (0.91, 2.53)	0.112	
	Always	2.95 (0.92, 9.46)	0.068	
Fruit concumption unit por wook	≥2 shares			
That consumption unit per week	Seldom	5.95 (1.24, 12,1)	0.009	
	Underweight and normal	Reference category		
BMI	Grade 1 obesity	2.29 (1.28, 4.09)	0.005	
	Grade 2 obesity	7.11 (2.21, 12.52)	0.001	
W/sict	<90 cm	Reference category		
waist	≥90 cm	1.99 (1.14, 3.45)	0.015	
Agreement		1.26 (1.07,1.48)	0.007	
Conscience		1.21 (1.02, 1.44)	0.028	
Extraversion		0.85 (0.73, 0.97)	0.020	

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; OR, Odd ratio; CI, Confidence interval.

specific policy-making actions; e.g., early-age personalitybased educational interventions through health centers. We also suggest that clinicians make use of personality traits factor as an additional marker of environmental susceptibility during usual chronic care for screening of high-risk subjects and outcome improvement. The role of other more sustainable mechanisms in the control of HTN such as the usual religion practice must be substantiated. We believe our work provides the required knowledge to design comprehensive HTN prevention programs by taking into account the multi-level causality approach.

#### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support and participation of health care centers staff and patients.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript. AR, NG and AM made contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data. AK, ZJ, FSH and DB analyzed and wrote the manuscript and revised it critically for important intellectual content and edited the manuscript. Finally,

all authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work.

#### Funding

We thank the Research Vice-Chancellor and Tabriz Health Services Management Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences for the financial support (grant number: 65070).

#### **Ethical approval**

Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics review committee of Tabriz University (IR.TBZMED.REC.1399.875) with following registering code: 65070. All patients were recruited after written informed consent.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

#### References

 Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr, et al. The seventh report of the joint national committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure: the JNC 7 report. JAMA. 2003;289(19):2560-72. doi: 10.1001/jama.289.19.2560.

- Forouzanfar MH, Liu P, Roth GA, Ng M, Biryukov S, Marczak L, et al. Global burden of hypertension and systolic blood pressure of at least 110 to 115 mm Hg, 1990-2015. JAMA. 2017;317(2):165-82. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.19043.
- Blood Pressure Lowering Treatment Trialists' Collaboration. Blood pressure-lowering treatment based on cardiovascular risk: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet. 2014;384(9943):591-8. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(14)61212-5.
- 4. Mills KT, Bundy JD, Kelly TN, Reed JE, Kearney PM, Reynolds K, et al. Global disparities of hypertension prevalence and control: a systematic analysis of population-based studies from 90 countries. Circulation. 2016;134(6):441-50. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.115.018912.
- Musaiger AO, Al-Hazzaa HM. Prevalence and risk factors associated with nutrition-related noncommunicable diseases in the Eastern Mediterranean region. Int J Gen Med. 2012;5:199-217. doi: 10.2147/ijgm.s29663.
- Fatani FN, AlSobaei RM, Alobodi NS, Alshehri ZH, Alrajih HA, Fallatah AA. Poor compliance to anti-hypertensive drugs among patients in Saudi Arabia. Indo Am J Pharm Sci. 2019;6(2):3752-8. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.2563232.
- 7. Habibzadeh F. Hypertension in the Middle East. Lancet. 2012;380:1.
- Malekzadeh MM, Etemadi A, Kamangar F, Khademi H, Golozar A, Islami F, et al. Prevalence, awareness and risk factors of hypertension in a large cohort of Iranian adult population. J Hypertens. 2013;31(7):1364-71. doi: 10.1097/ HJH.0b013e3283613053.
- 9. Hedayat-Diba Z. Psychotherapy with Muslims. In: Richards PS, Bergin AE, eds. Handbook of Psychotherapy and Religious Diversity. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2000. p. 289-314.
- Terracciano A, Strait J, Scuteri A, Meirelles O, Sutin AR, Tarasov K, et al. Personality traits and circadian blood pressure patterns: a 7-year prospective study. Psychosom Med. 2014;76(3):237-43. doi: 10.1097/psy.000000000000035.
- 11. Sanz J, García-Vera MP, Espinosa R, Fortún M, Magán I, Segura J. Psychological factors associated with poor hypertension control: differences in personality and stress between patients with controlled and uncontrolled hypertension. Psychol Rep. 2010;107(3):923-38. doi: 10.2466/09.15.20.pr0.107.6.923-938.
- Szcześniak M, Furmańska J, Konieczny K, Widecka K, Rachubińska K. Dimensions of neurotic personality and its selected predictors in individuals with arterial hypertension. Psychiatr Pol. 2019 31;53(4):901-14.doi: 10.12740/ PP/100373.
- Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr, et al. Seventh report of the joint national committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure. Hypertension. 2003;42(6):1206-52. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.0000107251.49515.c2.
- 14. Arabzadeh S, Sadeghi M, Rabiei K, Sarrafzadegan N, Taheri L, Golshahi J. Determinants of uncontrolled hypertension in an Iranian population. ARYA Atheroscler. 2014;10(1):25-31.
- 15. Universität Düsseldorf: G\*Power(hhu.de). Available at: https://www.psychologie.hhu.de/arbeitsgruppen/allgemeinepsychologie-und-arbeitspsychologie/gpower
- Gosling SD, Rentfrow PJ, Swann WB. A very brief measure of the Big-Five personality domains. J Res Pers. 2003;37(6):504-28. doi: 10.1016/s0092-6566(03)00046-1.
- 17. Atari M, Yaghoubirad M. The Big Five personality dimensions and mental health: the mediating role of alexithymia. Asian J Psychiatr. 2016;24:59-64. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2016.08.008.
- Javadivala Z, Kousha A, Allahverdipour H, Asghari Jafarabadi M, Tallebian H. Modeling the relationship between physical

activity and quality of life in menopausal-aged women: a cross-sectional study. J Res Health Sci. 2013;13(2):168-75.

- Javadivala Z, Allahverdipour H, Asghari Jafarabadi M, Emami A. An Interventional strategy of physical activity promotion for reduction of menopause symptoms. Health Promot Perspect. 2020;10(4):383-92. doi: 10.34172/hpp.2020.57.
- 20. IPAQ Research Committee. Guidelines for Data Processing and Analysis of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ)-Short Form. 2005. Available from: http://www.ipaq. ki.se. Accessed January 18, 2010.
- 21. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC; 2019.
- Almas A, Patel J, Ghori U, Ali A, Edhi AI, Khan MA. Depression is linked to uncontrolled hypertension: a case-control study from Karachi, Pakistan. J Ment Health. 2014;23(6):292-6. doi: 10.3109/09638237.2014.924047.
- 23. Burnier M, Polychronopoulou E, Wuerzner G. Hypertension and drug adherence in the elderly. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2020;7:49. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2020.00049.
- 24. Jacobsen BK, Thelle DS. Risk factors for coronary heart disease and level of education. The Tromsø Heart Study. Am J Epidemiol. 1988;127(5):923-32. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals. aje.a114895.
- 25. Lloyd-Jones DM, Evans JC, Levy D. Hypertension in adults across the age spectrum: current outcomes and control in the community. JAMA. 2005;294(4):466-72. doi: 10.1001/jama.294.4.466.
- 26. Pimenta E. Hypertension in women. Hypertens Res. 2012;35(2):148-52. doi: 10.1038/hr.2011.190.
- 27. Mertens ECA, Deković M, Van Londen M, Reitz E. Personality as a moderator of intervention effects: examining differential susceptibility. Pers Individ Dif. 2022;186(Pt A):111323. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2021.111323.
- 28. Križan Z, Hisler G. Personality and sleep: neuroticism and conscientiousness predict behaviourally recorded sleep years later. Eur J Pers. 2019;33(2):133-53. doi: 10.1002/per.2191.
- 29. Stephan Y, Terracciano A, Luchetti M, Aschwanden D, Lee JH, Sesker AA, et al. Physical activity and sedentary behavior during COVID-19: trajectory and moderation by personality. Soc Psychol Personal Sci. 2021;12(6):1103-9. doi: 10.1177/1948550620962945.
- Sobhani F, Haghshenas R, Rahimi M. Effect of eight weeks aerobic training and supplementation of green tea on apelin plasma levels and insulin resistance in elderly women with type 2 diabetes. J Mazandaran Univ Med Sci. 2019;28(170):84-93. [Persian].
- 31. Madani P, Avandi SM, Haghshenas R, Pakdel A. Combined effect of eight weeks high intensity resistance training with ginger supplementation on waist to hip ratio, body composition and body mass in obese women. Koomesh. 2017;19(2):289-93. [Persian].
- 32. O'Connor DB. The future of health behaviour change interventions: opportunities for open science and personality research. Health Psychol Rev. 2020;14(1):176-81. doi: 10.1080/17437199.2019.1707107.
- Koenig HG, McCullough ME, Larson DB. Disease prevention, disease detection, and treatment compliance. In: Koening HG, ed. Handbook of Religion and Health. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2001. p. 397-410.
- 34. Fabricatore AN, Handal PJ, Fenzel LM. Personal spirituality as a moderator of the relationship between stressors and subjective well-being. J Psychol Theol. 2000;28(3):221-8. doi: 10.1177/009164710002800305.
- 35. Shahsavarinia K, Javadivala Z, Allahverdipour H, Mousavi Z, Hamidi F, Saadati M, et al. Psychological pathways between type D personality and COVID-19. Health Psychol Rep. 2022;10(1):20-30. doi: 10.5114/hpr.2021.110933.